

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/078,927	02/19/2002	Thomas Curran	SJ-01-0032	6357	
28258 7	7590 06/30/2004	06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
ST. JUDE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH HOSPITAL OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY LICENSING			STEADMAN, DAVID J		
332 N. LAUDI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MEMPHIS, TN 38105			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/078,927	CURRAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	David J Steadman	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-31 are pending in the application.
- [2] Receipt of an information disclosure statement, filed March 25, 2002, is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

- [3] Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, 23, 25, and 31, drawn to a method for detecting cyclin dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) activity, a method for detecting neurological disorders by assaying for a decrease in Cdk5 activity, and a method for quantitating the level of Cdk5 activity in a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
 - II. Claims 1-15, 22, 24, and 31, drawn to a method for detecting Cdk5 activity, a method for detecting neurological disorders by assaying for an increase in Cdk5 activity, and a method for quantitating the level of Cdk5 activity in a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
 - III. Claims 16-17, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibits or decreases Cdk5 activity, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
 - IV. Claims 18-19, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that increases Cdk5 activity, classified in class 435, subclass 15.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

- V. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating Alzheimer's disease and ALS by administering a Cdk5 inhibitor, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- VI. Claim 21, drawn to a method for treating epilepsy and lissencephaly by administering a Cdk5 activator, classified in class 514, subclass 789.
- VII. Claims 26-30, drawn to an antibody, and a screening kit comprising said antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- [4] The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- [5] The methods of Groups I-VI are unrelated as the methods comprise different steps, utilize different products, and/or yield different results.
- The antibody of Group VII are related to the methods of Groups I-IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody of Group VII can be used as an affinity reagent for the purification of a phosphopeptide.
- [7] The antibody of Group VII is unrelated to the methods of Groups V and VI as it is neither made nor used by the methods of Groups V and VI.
- [8] MPEP § 803 sets forth two criteria for a proper restriction between patentably distinct inventions: (A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed and (B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner. As shown above, each of the inventions of Groups I-VII are independent or distinct, thus satisfying the first criterion

Art Unit: 1652

for a proper restriction. MPEP § 803 additionally states that a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search. Each of the inventions requires a separate patent and non-patent literature search requiring a different text search for each Group and thus, co-examination of the inventions of Groups I-VII would require a serious burden on the examiner.

- [9] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- [10] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoinder

[11] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if

Art Unit: 1652

the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116;

amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0942. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

Art Unit: 1652

supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (571) 272-0928. The FAX number for submission of official papers to Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Draft or informal FAX communications should be directed to (571) 273-0942. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1652